

Minutes of the Meeting of the Council of the City of Sheffield held in The Octagon Centre, Clarkson Street, Sheffield, S10 2TQ, on Wednesday 1 December 2021, at 2.00 pm, pursuant to notice duly given and Summonses duly served.

**PRESENT**

1	<i>Beauchief &amp; Greenhill Ward</i> Simon Clement-Jones Richard Shaw Sophie Thornton	10	<i>East Ecclesfield Ward</i> Alan Woodcock	19	<i>Nether Edge &amp; Sharrow Ward</i>
2	<i>Beighton Ward</i> Ann Woolhouse	11	<i>Ecclesall Ward</i> Roger Davison Barbara Masters Shaffaq Mohammed	20	<i>Park &amp; Arbourthorne</i>
3	<i>Birley Ward</i>	12	<i>Firth Park Ward</i>	21	<i>Richmond Ward</i>
4	<i>Broomhill &amp; Sharrow Vale Ward</i>	13	<i>Fulwood Ward</i> Sue Alston Andrew Sangar Cliff Woodcraft	22	<i>Shiregreen &amp; Brightside Ward</i>
5	<i>Burngreave Ward</i>	14	<i>Gleadless Valley Ward</i>	23	<i>Southey Ward</i>
6	<i>City Ward</i>	15	<i>Graves Park Ward</i> Ian Auckland Sue Auckland Steve Ayris	24	<i>Stannington Ward</i> Penny Baker Richard Williams
7	<i>Crookes &amp; Crosspool Ward</i> Tim Huggan Mohammed Mahroof	16	<i>Hillsborough Ward</i>	25	<i>Stocksbridge &amp; Upper Don Ward</i> Lewis Chinchin
8	<i>Darnall Ward</i>	17	<i>Manor Castle Ward</i>	26	<i>Walkley Ward</i>
9	<i>Dore &amp; Totley Ward</i> Joe Otten Colin Ross Martin Smith	18	<i>Mosborough Ward</i> Kevin Oxley	27	<i>West Ecclesfield Ward</i> Alan Hooper Mike Levery Ann Whitaker
				28	<i>Woodhouse Ward</i>

## **1. APPOINTMENT OF CHAIR OF THE MEETING**

- 1.1 The Chief Executive reported that, in the absence of the Lord Mayor (Councillor Gail Smith) and the Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Sioned-Mair Richards) at the meeting, there was a need to appoint a chair for the meeting.
- 1.2 RESOLVED: On the motion of Councillor Joe Otten, seconded by Councillor Sue Alston, that Councillor Colin Ross be appointed as chair of the meeting.

## **2. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

- 2.1 Apologies for absence were received from the Lord Mayor (Councillor Gail Smith) and Councillors Vic Bowden, Neale Gibson, Bob McCann, Vickie Priestley and Paul Wood.
- 2.2 It was noted that, in view of the industrial action taking place immediately outside the Octagon Centre, members of the Labour and Green Groups on the Council had chosen not to attend the meeting.

## **3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

- 3.1 Councillor Roger Davison declared a personal interest in item 11 (Appointment of an Additional Independent Co-opted Member to the South Yorkshire Police and Crime Panel) on the grounds that he is one of the Council's appointed representatives on the Panel.

## **4. PUBLIC QUESTIONS AND PETITIONS AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS**

- 4.1 The Chair (Councillor Colin Ross) reported that two petitions and questions from four members of the public had been received prior to the published deadline for submission of petitions and questions for this meeting. Representations were to be made on behalf of the petitioners on one of the petitions and the other petition would be received in the absence of a speaker. It appeared that two of the four questioners were not present at the meeting. He added that in the absence of all the Executive Members of the Council at the meeting, arrangements would be made for written responses to the petitions and questions to be provided after the meeting. Those responses would be published on the Council's website.

### **4.2 Petitions**

- 4.2.1 Petition Requesting a Traffic Island Barrier and Traffic Calming Opposite the Meditation Centre on Ecclesall Road

The Council received an electronic petition containing nine signatures requesting a traffic island barrier and traffic calming opposite the Meditation Centre on

Ecclesall Road.

Representations on behalf of the petitioners were made by Christopher Beck. Mr Beck stated he was presenting the petition on behalf of a young girl who was killed in a car accident in front of the Meditation Centre. He said that this tragedy was avoidable, and said that this petition was in the form of a resolution:

- Add to the metal railing of Ecclesall Road crossing to feed people onto the final eastern part of marked crossings to shops on two outward leads, leading southwest to Hunters Bar
- Employ traffic calming measures to rein in drivers competing for first place as they move from two lanes to one on the approach to the bus stop area, or if the bus stop is removed devise appropriate traffic calming measure to create a sense of caution and awareness of the crossing.

Mr Beck said that Paul Blomfield, MP, had introduced him to the relevant Committee member and various area councillors. Mr Beck listed some key decision makers within the Council, as follows:-

Councillor Paul Wood (contacted by Paul Blomfield, MP)  
Councillor Julie Grocutt  
Councillor Mazher Iqbal  
Councillor Douglas Johnson  
Councillor Barbara Masters  
Councillor Roger Davison

Mr Beck stated that Councillor Masters had provided helpful advice. He said that Councillor Davison was the only Member to contact him and visit the location of the accident. Mr Beck stated that he spoke for all concerned citizens of Sheffield, particularly those who had young children. He said that he had seen the accident and reiterated that this was an avoidable tragedy.

Mr Beck stated that during Councillor Davison's visit, Councillor Davison had walked the crossing, taken photographs and viewed the issues in person. Mr Beck said that the absence of adequate road safety measures facilitated reckless behaviour by pedestrians and motorists but stated that opening a second lane might not reduce the competitive instincts of some motorists. He instead suggested signage, camera installation or similar traffic calming measures. Mr Beck said that those who have attended the area, such as Councillor Davison, would be best placed to observe the issues described. He asked that the money needed to bring in these measures be found within the Emergency Budget.

The Council referred the petition to Councillor Douglas Johnson (Executive Member for Climate Change, Environment and Transport). The Chair confirmed that arrangements would be made for a written response to be provided to Mr. Beck and published on the Council's website.

4.2.2 Petition Requesting the Council to Open New, Free Public Toilets Around the City Centre

The Council received an electronic petition containing 17 signatures requesting the Council to open new, free public toilets around the city centre. There was no speaker for this petition.

The Council referred the petition to Councillor Alison Teal (Executive Member for Sustainable Neighbourhoods, Wellbeing, Parks and Leisure). The Chair confirmed that arrangements would be made for a written response to be provided to the organiser of the petition and published on the Council's website.

4.3 Public Questions

4.3.1 Public Question Regarding Homelessness and Empty Buildings

Paige Shepherd asked the following question of the Council: 'When we have dozens of empty buildings in our city that have sat that way for years, why do we have so many homeless Sheffielders?'

The Chair stated that arrangements would be made for Councillor Paul Wood (Executive Member for Housing, Roads and Waste Management) to provide a written response to Ms. Shepherd and for that response to be published on the Council's website.

4.3.2 Public Question Regarding the Installation in the Town Hall of a Plaque Commemorating the Sheffield City Battalion

Patricia Davey asked the following question of the Council:-

'My Lord Mayor I understand that on the 11th November of this year a plaque was unveiled in our Council Chamber to mark the very historic occasion of the first members of this City receiving the King's Shilling thus leading to the formation of our own brave and famous Sheffield City Battalion. My Lord Mayor I would respectfully ask if you are able to give us information as to what led up to this Plaque being installed in the Council Chamber and also some information regarding why the Sheffield City Battalion was formed and their history.'

The Chair stated that arrangements would be made for a written response to be provided to Ms. Davey, jointly from the Lord Mayor (Councillor Gail Smith) and the Leader of the Council (Councillor Terry Fox), and for that response to be published on the Council's website.

4.3.3 (NOTE: Questions which had been submitted by Ben Walters and Graham Jones, but which were not asked at the meeting, would receive written responses from the relevant Executive Member/s).

## **5. MEMBERS' QUESTIONS**

### **5.1 Urgent Business**

There were no questions relating to urgent business under the provisions of Council Procedure Rule 16.6(ii).

### **5.2 South Yorkshire Joint Authorities**

There were no questions relating to the discharge of the functions of the South Yorkshire Joint Authorities for Fire and Rescue and Pensions, under the provisions of Council Procedure Rule 16.6(i).

### **5.3 Written Questions**

5.3.1 A schedule of questions to Executive Members, submitted in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 16, and which contained written answers, was circulated.

5.3.2 Supplementary questions, under the provisions of Council Procedure Rule 16.4, were not asked due to the absence of all the Executive Members of the Council at the meeting. The Chair (Councillor Colin Ross) stated that any Member who wished to ask one or more supplementary questions should forward them in writing to the relevant Executive Member.

## **6. NOTICE OF MOTION REGARDING "INCREASING RAIL CONNECTIVITY AND CAPACITY FOR SHEFFIELD" - GIVEN BY COUNCILLOR SHAFFAQ MOHAMMED AND TO BE SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR TIM HUGGAN**

6.1 It was moved by Councillor Shaffaq Mohammed, and seconded by Councillor Tim Huggan, that this Council:-

- (a) notes, with enormous disappointment, the decision to cancel the high-speed rail link from Sheffield to the Midlands as a huge setback for the city of Sheffield;
- (b) notes the huge capacity constraints on the line between Sheffield and Leeds;
- (c) notes that this constrains the ability to put more goods on rail rather than road that will hamper efforts to meet our carbon net zero goals;
- (d) believes that the health of many Sheffields, particularly on the east of the city, will continue to suffer from the harmful effects of poor air quality as fewer goods movements will be able to be moved from road to rail;
- (e) believes that for all this Government's talk about 'the Northern

Powerhouse' and 'levelling up' they are still making disgraceful decisions like this one which will see Sheffield cut off from the high-speed train network;

- (f) believes this is another example of the Government failing to support our communities and our city, harming our city's potential for future economic prosperity and development compared to our neighbouring cities of Leeds and Manchester;
- (g) believes that Sheffield not being a part of the High-Speed network will only encourage people into their cars and cause environmental damage;
- (h) believes that the Government should reconsider and needs to support the full implementation of High-Speed Rail and the so called 'Northern Powerhouse Rail'; this should be done with far tighter financial controls and increased accountability than there has previously been to ensure that these projects are value for money;
- (i) believes that both the Government and this Council should address problems with implementation to ensure that the complete HS2 network opens as early as possible to meet our decarbonisation goals while minimising the destruction of precious UK habitats and woodland;
- (j) also notes, with disappointment, the Government's decision not to give funding to restore the Sheaf Valley Line, a key route in our city that if fully developed could take hundreds of vehicles off our roads daily, helping us tackle the menace of both traffic and air pollution that many of our local communities around the Sheaf valley face;
- (k) however, believes that this Council needs to do more to promote railways and that the Co-operative leadership have not adequately fought Sheffield's corner and made arguments central Government could not ignore for Sheffield's full inclusion in HS2;
- (l) believes this is also a failure of the political leaders of South Yorkshire, who by not working together to promote our region have contributed to this decision being taken; and
- (m) requires that the Chief Executive write to the Transport Minister expressing this Council's disappointment and desire to see the HS2 cancellation decision reconsidered.

6.2 After contributions from three other Members, and following a right of reply from Councillor Shaffaq Mohammed, the Motion was put to the vote in the following form and carried:-

RESOLVED: That this Council:-

- (a) notes, with enormous disappointment, the decision to cancel the high-

- speed rail link from Sheffield to the Midlands as a huge setback for the city of Sheffield;
- (b) notes the huge capacity constraints on the line between Sheffield and Leeds;
  - (c) notes that this constrains the ability to put more goods on rail rather than road that will hamper efforts to meet our carbon net zero goals;
  - (d) believes that the health of many Sheffielders, particularly on the east of the city, will continue to suffer from the harmful effects of poor air quality as fewer goods movements will be able to be moved from road to rail;
  - (e) believes that for all this Government's talk about 'the Northern Powerhouse' and 'levelling up' they are still making disgraceful decisions like this one which will see Sheffield cut off from the high-speed train network;
  - (f) believes this is another example of the Government failing to support our communities and our city, harming our city's potential for future economic prosperity and development compared to our neighbouring cities of Leeds and Manchester;
  - (g) believes that Sheffield not being a part of the High-Speed network will only encourage people into their cars and cause environmental damage;
  - (h) believes that the Government should reconsider and needs to support the full implementation of High-Speed Rail and the so called 'Northern Powerhouse Rail'; this should be done with far tighter financial controls and increased accountability than there has previously been to ensure that these projects are value for money;
  - (i) believes that both the Government and this Council should address problems with implementation to ensure that the complete HS2 network opens as early as possible to meet our decarbonisation goals while minimising the destruction of precious UK habitats and woodland;
  - (j) also notes, with disappointment, the Government's decision not to give funding to restore the Sheaf Valley Line, a key route in our city that if fully developed could take hundreds of vehicles off our roads daily, helping us tackle the menace of both traffic and air pollution that many of our local communities around the Sheaf valley face;
  - (k) however, believes that this Council needs to do more to promote railways and that the Co-operative leadership have not adequately fought Sheffield's corner and made arguments central Government could not ignore for Sheffield's full inclusion in HS2;
  - (l) believes this is also a failure of the political leaders of South Yorkshire, who by not working together to promote our region have contributed to

this decision being taken; and

- (m) requires that the Chief Executive write to the Transport Minister expressing this Council's disappointment and desire to see the HS2 cancellation decision reconsidered.

6.3 (NOTE: In the absence of a mover for the amendment, Amendment Number 1 on the list of amendments circulated at the meeting, was not considered by the Council.)

**7. NOTICE OF MOTION REGARDING "HS2 BETRAYAL" - GIVEN BY COUNCILLOR TERRY FOX AND TO BE SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR JULIE GROUTT**

7.1 In the absence of a mover for the motion, item 7 on the order of business published for this meeting (Notice of Motion Regarding "HS2 Betrayal" - Given By Councillor Terry Fox), together with Amendments Numbered 2 & 3 on the list of amendments circulated at the meeting, were not considered by the Council.

**8. NOTICE OF MOTION REGARDING "PROTECTING PATIENTS AND STAFF AFTER NHS CHANGES" - GIVEN BY COUNCILLOR RUTH MILSOM AND TO BE SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR GEORGE LINDARS-HAMMOND**

8.1 It was formally moved by Councillor Sue Alston, and formally seconded by Councillor Andrew Sangar, that this Council:-

- (a) recognises that the Health and Care Bill seeks to remove barriers to integrating services to improve health outcomes and reduce health inequalities;
- (b) broadly supports the Bill's focus on improving the health and wellbeing of the population and the duty of bodies to have regard to this in making decisions;
- (c) supports the emphasis of the Bill on the duty to engage with patients, carers and representatives;
- (d) supports the requirement for NHS Integrated Care Boards and local authorities to establish a Health and Care Partnership with responsibility for producing an integrated care strategy;
- (e) welcomes the Bill's recognition of the key role of Health and Wellbeing Boards and the health and wellbeing strategies and Joint Strategic Needs Assessment they produce;
- (f) welcomes the flexibility afforded to each Integrated Care System in



making its own arrangements for joining up services and setting local strategies for improving population health;

- (g) notes with dismay that despite the Conservative Party's 2010 pre-election promise that there would be "no top-down reorganisation of the NHS", successive Conservative-led governments have been enacting root-and-branch reorganisation of the NHS in England, starting with the 2012 Health and Social Care Act, and continuing with Sustainability and Transformation Partnerships (STPs) which paved the way to the creation of 42 regional Integrated Care Systems (ICSs);
- (h) believes that this decade of system change has placed health and care services, and those who are responsible for commissioning, delivering, and monitoring them at local level, under enormous sustained pressure;
- (i) is troubled that the Health and Care Bill gives the Secretary of State the power to call in NHS reconfiguration proposals, and believes that the role of local health overview and scrutiny committees in these matters should not be undermined;
- (j) believes with great concern that this proposal does nothing to assist social care whilst this Government has continually cut support for local councils and vulnerable people;
- (k) is concerned that local authority influence over local health and wellbeing could be side-lined if Integrated Care Boards are not correctly constituted;
- (l) is concerned that the Secretary of State will be empowered by the Health and Care Bill to deregulate unspecified NHS roles currently safeguarded by professional regulation, which in turn could threaten patient safety and staff development and training;
- (m) notes with alarm that the Health and Care Bill allows private companies to sit on ICS Boards and Partnerships, and that NHS England has accredited over 200 corporations and businesses – many US-owned – to help develop ICSs;
- (n) is concerned that allocation of ICS wide budgets, if not accompanied by strong place-based delegation, could result in commissioning decisions that are based more on detached area-wide targets than on localised need;
- (o) is concerned that when the South Yorkshire ICS takes on statutory powers, Clinical Commissioning Group staff across the footprint will become a single SY NHS workforce and that staff may find themselves redeployed between places across the county;
- (p) believes:-
  - (i) proposals for ICS's do not resolve the issues of chronic under-

funding, shortage of services, inequality, high staff vacancies, workload, and stress in the NHS, and that there continues to be inherent risks of increase of private contracts, more down-skilling and outsourcing of NHS jobs, reduced services, and significant spending cuts;

- (ii) it is time to restore the NHS to a fully accountable, publicly run service, free to all at the point of use, and that full scale repeal of the 2012 Health & Social Care Act and new legislation for a universal, comprehensive and publicly provided NHS are required;
  - (iii) that the Government should provide far greater funding for social care to local authorities to create a National Care Service; and
  - (iv) that genuine integration, based on the wider determinants of health, involves more input from local authorities not less, and that place-based decision making that allows for joint commissioning of NHS and Council funds to support local care provision is vital;
- (q) calls for the legislation to include the following principles to be incorporated into the constitution of the South Yorkshire Integrated Care System:-
- (i) no private providers should hold positions on the Integrated Care Board;
  - (ii) all ICS bodies should allow for the full participation of local authorities;
  - (iii) all ICS decision-making bodies to be held in public, with published agenda and minutes, and open to public and local authority scrutiny;
  - (iv) continuation of the role of individual and joint local authority scrutiny bodies, with the ability to call in decisions;
  - (v) delegation to place-based commissioning, including for NHS funding to be deployed into Joint Commissioning arrangements with councils so as to support local care provision; and
  - (vi) safeguards for all NHS staff that prevent forced or unreasonable re-deployment; and
- (r) accordingly, resolves to forward this motion to the Sheffield CCG and the incoming Chair and Chief Executive of the SY ICS.

8.2 Whereupon, it was moved by Councillor Steve Ayris, and seconded by Councillor Joe Otten, as an amendment, that the Motion now submitted be amended by:-

1. the deletion of paragraph (a) and the addition of a new paragraph (a) as follows:-
  - (a) recognises that the Health and Care Bill seeks to remove barriers to integrating services to improve health outcomes and reduce health inequalities, but believes it fails to do so by only paying lip service to social care;
  
2. the deletion of paragraphs (g) and (h), the addition of new paragraphs (g) to (k) as follows, and the re-lettering of original paragraphs (i) to (r) as new paragraphs (l) to (u):-
  - (g) notes with dismay that the Bill, as drafted, would result in this Government breaking its manifesto pledges not to raise national insurance tax and that no-one would have to sell their home to pay for care;
  - (h) believes that the Health and Care Bill seeks to raise taxes on low and middle income families, with the prospect of them losing their homes to fund care costs and does nothing to increase social care quality or capacity;
  - (i) furthermore, notes that the Health and Care Bill makes no mention of the millions of unpaid carers in the UK who are twice as likely to suffer from ill health as a result of caring;
  - (j) condemns the power grab by the Secretary of State within the Bill that includes the power to abolish arm's length bodies and to approve or reject ICS Chairs, rather than protecting the independence of the NHS;
  - (k) welcomes the Healthier Communities & Adult Social Care Scrutiny & Policy Development Committee's decision to establish a Scrutiny ICS Liaison Group to monitor the development of the South Yorkshire Integrated Care System to ensure local Sheffield-place scrutiny by elected Members is integrated within the SYICS Framework;
  
3. the deletion of original paragraph (p)(iii) [new paragraph siii], the addition of new sub-paragraphs (iii) and (iv) as follows, and the re-lettering of original sub-paragraph (iv) as a new sub-paragraph (v):-
  - (iii) that the Government should establish a cross-party NHS and Care Convention to find a fair and equitable long-term funding solution for the NHS and social care, so that everybody gets the high-quality healthcare they deserve;
  - (iv) therefore that the Government should put on hold the Health and Care Bill until proper social care reforms are brought forward;

4. the addition, in original paragraph (q) [new paragraph t] of a new sub-paragraph (i) as follows, and the re-lettering of the original sub-paragraphs (i) to (vi) as new sub-paragraphs (ii) to (vii):-

- (i) that the NHS must ensure that the health and wellbeing of unpaid carers are taken into account when decisions are taken concerning the health and care of the person for whom they care;

8.3 On being put to the vote, the amendment moved by Councillor Steve Ayris was carried.

8.4 The original Motion, as amended, was then put as a Substantive Motion in the following form and carried:-

RESOLVED: That this Council:-

- (a) recognises that the Health and Care Bill seeks to remove barriers to integrating services to improve health outcomes and reduce health inequalities, but believes it fails to do so by only paying lip service to social care;
- (b) broadly supports the Bill's focus on improving the health and wellbeing of the population and the duty of bodies to have regard to this in making decisions;
- (c) supports the emphasis of the Bill on the duty to engage with patients, carers and representatives;
- (d) supports the requirement for NHS Integrated Care Boards and local authorities to establish a Health and Care Partnership with responsibility for producing an integrated care strategy;
- (e) welcomes the Bill's recognition of the key role of Health and Wellbeing Boards and the health and wellbeing strategies and Joint Strategic Needs Assessment they produce;
- (f) welcomes the flexibility afforded to each Integrated Care System in making its own arrangements for joining up services and setting local strategies for improving population health;
- (g) notes with dismay that the Bill, as drafted, would result in this Government breaking its manifesto pledges not to raise national insurance tax and that no-one would have to sell their home to pay for care;
- (h) believes that the Health and Care Bill seeks to raise taxes on low and middle income families, with the prospect of them losing their homes to fund care costs and does nothing to increase social care quality or capacity;

- (i) furthermore, notes that the Health and Care Bill makes no mention of the millions of unpaid carers in the UK who are twice as likely to suffer from ill health as a result of caring;
- (j) condemns the power grab by the Secretary of State within the Bill that includes the power to abolish arm's length bodies and to approve or reject ICS Chairs, rather than protecting the independence of the NHS;
- (k) welcomes the Healthier Communities & Adult Social Care Scrutiny & Policy Development Committee's decision to establish a Scrutiny ICS Liaison Group to monitor the development of the South Yorkshire Integrated Care System to ensure local Sheffield-place scrutiny by elected Members is integrated within the SYICS Framework;
- (l) is troubled that the Health and Care Bill gives the Secretary of State the power to call in NHS reconfiguration proposals, and believes that the role of local health overview and scrutiny committees in these matters should not be undermined;
- (m) believes with great concern that this proposal does nothing to assist social care whilst this Government has continually cut support for local councils and vulnerable people;
- (n) is concerned that local authority influence over local health and wellbeing could be side-lined if Integrated Care Boards are not correctly constituted;
- (o) is concerned that the Secretary of State will be empowered by the Health and Care Bill to deregulate unspecified NHS roles currently safeguarded by professional regulation, which in turn could threaten patient safety and staff development and training;
- (p) notes with alarm that the Health and Care Bill allows private companies to sit on ICS Boards and Partnerships, and that NHS England has accredited over 200 corporations and businesses – many US-owned – to help develop ICSs;
- (q) is concerned that allocation of ICS wide budgets, if not accompanied by strong place-based delegation, could result in commissioning decisions that are based more on detached area-wide targets than on localised need;
- (r) is concerned that when the South Yorkshire ICS takes on statutory powers, Clinical Commissioning Group staff across the footprint will become a single SY NHS workforce and that staff may find themselves redeployed between places across the county;
- (s) believes:-
  - (i) proposals for ICS's do not resolve the issues of chronic under-funding, shortage of services, inequality, high staff vacancies,

- workload, and stress in the NHS, and that there continues to be inherent risks of increase of private contracts, more down-skilling and outsourcing of NHS jobs, reduced services, and significant spending cuts;
- (ii) it is time to restore the NHS to a fully accountable, publicly run service, free to all at the point of use, and that full scale repeal of the 2012 Health & Social Care Act and new legislation for a universal, comprehensive and publicly provided NHS are required;
  - (iii) that the Government should establish a cross-party NHS and Care Convention to find a fair and equitable long-term funding solution for the NHS and social care, so that everybody gets the high-quality healthcare they deserve;
  - (iv) therefore that the Government should put on hold the Health and Care Bill until proper social care reforms are brought forward; and
  - (v) that genuine integration, based on the wider determinants of health, involves more input from local authorities not less, and that place-based decision making that allows for joint commissioning of NHS and Council funds to support local care provision is vital;
- (t) calls for the legislation to include the following principles to be incorporated into the constitution of the South Yorkshire Integrated Care System:-
- (i) that the NHS must ensure that the health and wellbeing of unpaid carers are taken into account when decisions are taken concerning the health and care of the person for whom they care;
  - (ii) no private providers should hold positions on the Integrated Care Board;
  - (iii) all ICS bodies should allow for the full participation of local authorities;
  - (iv) all ICS decision-making bodies to be held in public, with published agenda and minutes, and open to public and local authority scrutiny;
  - (v) continuation of the role of individual and joint local authority scrutiny bodies, with the ability to call in decisions;
  - (vi) delegation to place-based commissioning, including for NHS funding to be deployed into Joint Commissioning arrangements with councils so as to support local care provision; and
  - (vii) safeguards for all NHS staff that prevent forced or unreasonable re-deployment; and

- |   |
|---|
| (u) accordingly, resolves to forward this motion to the Sheffield CCG and the incoming Chair and Chief Executive of the SY ICS. |
|---|

8.5 (NOTE: In the absence of a mover for the amendment, Amendment Number 5 on the list of amendments circulated at the meeting, was not considered by the Council.)

**9. NOTICE OF MOTION REGARDING "BETTER BUSES FOR SHEFFIELD" - GIVEN BY COUNCILLOR IAN AUCKLAND AND TO BE SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR PENNY BAKER**

9.1 It was moved by Councillor Ian Auckland, and seconded by Councillor Penny Baker, that this Council:-

- (a) welcomes the £200m, including £100m to improve Supertram and £35m for buses promised to Sheffield in the recent Budget to support public transport;
- (b) however, notes the regional imbalances for bus funding whereby buses in London get the funding equivalent of £76 per head, and yet in Sheffield it is only £5, and believes this is simply unacceptable;
- (c) also believes that Sheffield has been left with often poor bus services, with services frequently being late, cramped, unreliable, expensive and in poor condition;
- (d) believes that the Mayor of South Yorkshire must exercise powers to bring bus services back under local control (franchising), at the earliest practicable date, and central government must do more to provide significant funding to revitalise local transport;
- (e) believes that once again the Mayor of South Yorkshire has "missed the bus" regarding improving bus services in Sheffield and South Yorkshire with the alternative "Enhanced Partnership" arrangements brought in this summer;
- (f) supports Clive Betts MP's call for the roll-out of Bus Franchising to be speeded up and fully endorses the consistent commitment, over very many years, of the major opposition party to introduce bus franchising in Sheffield;
- (g) believes that a good public transport system should run where people need it, when people need it and at a price that is affordable; and
- (h) believes that excellent public transport, and people friendly neighbourhoods are key to reducing pollution, congestion, improving

health, and contributing to our zero carbon by 2030 pledge.

9.2 Whereupon, it was formally moved by Councillor Richard Shaw, and formally seconded by Councillor Mike Levery, as an amendment, that the Motion now submitted be amended by the addition of new paragraphs (i) to (p) as follows:-

- (i) notes with concern the ongoing reduction in bus services given the importance of frequency and reliability to passengers, fears that at least some service reductions will become permanent, and states that this is a self-defeating action, and calls upon the Administration to lobby against such proposals;
- (j) furthermore notes, with disappointment, the recent news that the Sheffield Supertram has announced it is reducing the frequency of its services by up to 50% due to a temporary shortage of drivers;
- (k) believes that in many industries across the country, driver shortages are having an impact on our services and public transport and that this is in part due to the negative effects of Brexit on our economy;
- (l) calls upon public transport operators to 'pull out all the stops' to get new drivers recruited and trained to make sure this disruption to services is short;
- (m) believes that Sheffield Trams could also benefit from more local control and calls for local and regional bodies to investigate ways this could be brought forward;
- (n) welcomes the news that since the publication of the main opposition group's original motion, the Leader of this Council has decided to take action and hold a meeting with transport operators, but fears this may be too little too late in view of what this Council believes to be 10 years of inaction from his party on local transport;
- (o) calls once again on the Administration to give notice to withdraw from the Sheffield Bus Partnership Agreement, which has, this Council believes, proven to be a "Bus Cuts" partnership agreement; and
- (p) believes that this Council should work to improve all of Sheffield's public transport and needs to make sure services are integrated and work together to make local public transport work for the people who need it.

9.3 After contributions from nine Members, and following a right of reply from Councillor Ian Auckland, the amendment moved by Councillor Richard Shaw was put to the vote and was carried.

9.3.1 The votes on the amendment were ordered to be recorded and were as follows:-

For paragraphs (i), (j) and (l) to (p) of the - Councillors Simon Clement-Jones, Richard Shaw, Sophie Thornton, Ann Woolhouse, Tim



- Amendment (26) Huggan, Mohammed Mahroof, Joe Otten, Colin Ross, Martin Smith, Alan Woodcock, Roger Davison, Barbara Masters, Shaffaq Mohammed, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Ian Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayris, Kevin Oxley, Penny Baker, Richard Williams, Lewis Chinchin, Alan Hooper, Mike Levery and Ann Whitaker.
- Against paragraphs (i), (j) and (l) to (p) of the Amendment (0) - Nil
- Abstained from voting on paragraphs (i), (j) and (l) to (p) of the Amendment (0) - Nil
- For paragraph (k) of the Amendment (25) - Councillors Simon Clement-Jones, Richard Shaw, Sophie Thornton, Ann Woolhouse, Tim Huggan, Mohammed Mahroof, Joe Otten, Colin Ross, Martin Smith, Alan Woodcock, Roger Davison, Barbara Masters, Shaffaq Mohammed, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Ian Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayris, Kevin Oxley, Penny Baker, Richard Williams, Alan Hooper, Mike Levery and Ann Whitaker.
- Against paragraph (k) of the Amendment (1) - Councillor Lewis Chinchin
- Abstained from voting on paragraph (k) of the Amendment (0) - Nil

9.4 The original Motion, as amended, was then put as a Substantive Motion in the following form and carried:-

RESOLVED: That this Council:-

- (a) welcomes the £200m, including £100m to improve Supertram and £35m for buses promised to Sheffield in the recent Budget to support public transport;
- (b) however, notes the regional imbalances for bus funding whereby buses in London get the funding equivalent of £76 per head, and yet in Sheffield it is only £5, and believes this is simply unacceptable;

- (c) also believes that Sheffield has been left with often poor bus services, with services frequently being late, cramped, unreliable, expensive and in poor condition;
- (d) believes that the Mayor of South Yorkshire must exercise powers to bring bus services back under local control (franchising), at the earliest practicable date, and central government must do more to provide significant funding to revitalise local transport;
- (e) believes that once again the Mayor of South Yorkshire has “missed the bus” regarding improving bus services in Sheffield and South Yorkshire with the alternative “Enhanced Partnership” arrangements brought in this summer;
- (f) supports Clive Betts MP’s call for the roll-out of Bus Franchising to be speeded up and fully endorses the consistent commitment, over very many years, of the major opposition party to introduce bus franchising in Sheffield;
- (g) believes that a good public transport system should run where people need it, when people need it and at a price that is affordable;
- (h) believes that excellent public transport, and people friendly neighbourhoods are key to reducing pollution, congestion, improving health, and contributing to our zero carbon by 2030 pledge;
- (i) notes with concern the ongoing reduction in bus services given the importance of frequency and reliability to passengers, fears that at least some service reductions will become permanent, and states that this is a self-defeating action, and calls upon the Administration to lobby against such proposals;
- (j) furthermore notes, with disappointment, the recent news that the Sheffield Supertram has announced it is reducing the frequency of its services by up to 50% due to a temporary shortage of drivers;
- (k) believes that in many industries across the country, driver shortages are having an impact on our services and public transport and that this is in part due to the negative effects of Brexit on our economy;
- (l) calls upon public transport operators to ‘pull out all the stops’ to get new drivers recruited and trained to make sure this disruption to services is short;
- (m) believes that Sheffield Trams could also benefit from more local control and calls for local and regional bodies to investigate ways this could be brought forward;
- (n) welcomes the news that since the publication of the main opposition group’s original motion, the Leader of this Council has decided to take

action and hold a meeting with transport operators, but fears this may be too little too late in view of what this Council believes to be 10 years of inaction from his party on local transport;

- (o) calls once again on the Administration to give notice to withdraw from the Sheffield Bus Partnership Agreement, which has, this Council believes, proven to be a “Bus Cuts” partnership agreement; and
- (p) believes that this Council should work to improve all of Sheffield’s public transport and needs to make sure services are integrated and work together to make local public transport work for the people who need it.

9.5 (NOTE: In the absence of movers for the amendments, Amendments Numbered 6 and 7 on the list of amendments circulated at the meeting, were not considered by the Council.)

## **10. GAMBLING ACT 2005 - STATEMENT OF PRINCIPLES (POLICY)**

10.1 RESOLVED: On the Motion of Councillor Joe Otten, formally seconded by Councillor Roger Davison, that this Council approves the Statement of Principles (Policy) under the Gambling Act 2005, as set out in the report of the Executive Director, Place, now submitted.

## **11. APPOINTMENT OF AN ADDITIONAL INDEPENDENT (CO-OPTED) MEMBER TO THE SOUTH YORKSHIRE POLICE AND CRIME PANEL**

11.1 RESOLVED: On the Motion of Councillor Roger Davison, seconded by Councillor Joe Otten, that this Council:-

- (a) endorses the South Yorkshire Police and Crime Panel’s decision, taken on 20th September 2021, to commence the process to appoint a third independent (co-opted) member; and
- (b) approves the proposal to amend the Panel Arrangements to allow three co-opted members, subject to the Agreement of the Secretary of State.

## **12. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETINGS**

12.1 RESOLVED: On the Motion of Councillor Joe Otten, seconded by Councillor Sue Alston, that the minutes of the meetings of the Council held on 8<sup>th</sup> September and 6<sup>th</sup> October 2021, be approved as true and accurate records.

**13. REPRESENTATION, DELEGATED AUTHORITY AND RELATED ISSUES**

13.1 RESOLVED: On the Motion of Councillor Joe Otten, seconded by Councillor Sue Alston, that:-

(a) approval be given to the following changes to the memberships of Committees, Boards, etc.:-

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| Communities and Neighbourhoods Transitional Committee          | - Councillor Abtisam Mohamed to replace Councillor Moya O'Rourke   |
| Climate Change, Economy and Development Transitional Committee | - Councillor Mazher Iqbal to replace Councillor Abtisam Mohamed  |
| Senior Officer Employment Committee                            | - Councillors Mary Lea, Bryan Lodge and Abtisam Mohamed to replace Councillors Jayne Dunn, Mazher Iqbal and Mark Jones; and Councillor Sioned-Mair Richards to replace Councillor Mary Lea with effect from 20 <sup>th</sup> December 2021 |
| Appeals and Collective Disputes Committee                      | - Councillor Ann Whitaker to replace Councillor Penny Baker  |
| Corporate Joint Committee with Trade Unions                    | - Councillor Mazher Iqbal to fill a vacancy  |
| Place Portfolio Joint Consultative Committee                   | - Councillor Mazher Iqbal to fill a vacancy  |

(b) representatives be appointed to serve on other bodies as follows:-

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| South Yorkshire Mayoral Combined Authority | - Councillor Mazher Iqbal to fill a Substitute Member vacancy |
| Sheffield Business Adviser Panel           | - Councillor Mazher Iqbal to fill a vacancy                   |

13.1.1 (NOTE: Councillor Richard Williams abstained from voting on the motion and asked for this to be recorded.)